

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,722	07/07/2003	Edgardo Costa Maianti	DID1044US	7562	
9561	7590 06/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
POPOVICH, WILES & O'CONNELL, PA 650 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH			BIANCO, PATRICIA		
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOL	IS MN 55402		3761		

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-c			
	10/614,722	MAIANTI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia M. Bianco	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addi	ess			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this com  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 N	Narch 2006					
•	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the r	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under t	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	<b>1.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 and 10 is/are wit	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9</u> is/are rejected.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTC	J-13Z.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen		anligation No				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>			tana			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prical community of the prical</li></ol>	•	received in this trational o	itage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/6/03.</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species A in the reply filed on 3/9/06 is acknowledged. Claims 1-5 & 9 read on the elected species and have been examined on the merits.

Claims 6-8 and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/6/06.

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phraseology, namely comprising. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Raible (5,770,149). Raible discloses an integrated blood pump/heat exchanger/oxygenator that are integrated into a single structure, thereby being monolithic. The device further includes a heat exchanger as part of the integrated system. Also, Raible discloses that the device may include blood reservoirs, such as a venous and cardiotomy reservoir, as part of the integrated structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,722

Art Unit: 3761

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4-6, and 9 of copending Application No. 10/805,165. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the claims are not identical, they both claim an integrated blood treating device having a venous and a cardiotomy reservoir, a pump, heat exchanger, filter, and oxygenating apparatus arranged in an integrated, single monolithic assembly.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3761

#### Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M. Bianco whose telephone number is (571) 272-4940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

May 30<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761